

REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 9-15, and 17-44 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-7, 9-15, and 17-20 are allowed.

Claims 21-44 stand rejected.

Claims 21-29 have been amended.

Objections to the Specification

The specification is objected to for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. Claims 21-29 have been amended to recited “tangible, computer readable storage medium.” Support for the amendments is found, for example, on page 3 of the Specification. Accordingly, the specification provides proper antecedent basis for the claims as amended.

Applicants request withdrawal of the objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

Page 3 of the Office Action mentions “101” rejections made to claims 21-44. However, no 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections are presented in the Office Action. If there are any 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejections, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to provide them with sufficient time for Applicants to respond. Otherwise, Applicants assume that the reference to “101” rejections is a typographical error.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 21, 36, and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as incomplete for omitting essential elements. More specifically, the Office Action, p. 2, para. 3, identifies the missing elements of claims 21 and 36 as “first web page displayed at the workstation and the second web page displayed at the workstation.” Applicants respectfully disagree that the omitted elements are “essential elements”.

However, to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended claims 21 and 36 to recite “a first web page for display at the workstation “ and “a second web page for display at the workstation”.

The Office Action, p. 2, para. 3, identifies the missing elements of claim 29 as “first web page transmitted from the server and the second web page transmitted from the server.” Claim 29 has not been amended.

The MPEP §2172.01 states in part:

2172.01 Unclaimed Essential Matter

A claim which omits matter disclosed to be essential to the invention as described in the specification or in other statements of record may be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as not enabling. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). See also MPEP § 2164.08(c). Such essential matter may include missing elements, steps or necessary structural cooperative relationships of elements described by the applicant(s) as necessary to practice the invention. (emphasis added.)

Applicants respectfully submit that the Present Application does not describe “first web page transmitted from the server and the second web page transmitted from the server” as necessary to practice the invention. The Present Application states, in an exemplary embodiment, that “the corresponding application program 304 generates the requested web page, and the requested web page is transmitted via the network interface 122 to the web browser 314.” Present Application, p. 8, lines 17-18. Thus, “first web page transmitted from the server and the second web page transmitted from the server” is not described by Applicants as necessary to practice the invention. NOTE: The invention is defined by the claims and not by embodiments set forth in other parts of the Specification.

Page 3 of the Office Action mentions “112” rejections made to claims 21-44. Applicants assume that the mention of a 112 rejection to claims 21-44 is a reference to the foregoing rejections applied to independent claims 21, 29, and 36 and, thus, by virtue of dependency to dependent claims 22-28, 30-35, and 37-44. If there are any other 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to provide them with sufficient time for Applicants to respond.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant requests that a Notice of Allowance be issued. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at 512-338-9100.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that on August 27, 2010 this correspondence is being transmitted via the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's electronic filing system.

/Kent B. Chambers/

Respectfully submitted,

/Kent B. Chambers/

Kent B. Chambers
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 38,839